


IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
DUBLIN DIVISION

JEAN CARLO RAMIREZ-CARRANZA,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	CV 322-054
)	
STACEY N. STONE, Warden,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER

Petitioner has failed to file a response to Respondent's supplemental motion to dismiss for mootness. (Doc. no. 8). Under Loc. R. 7.5, failure to respond to a motion generally indicates that the motion is unopposed; nevertheless, the Court recognizes that Petitioner is acting *pro se* in this litigation and that Respondent's motion is dispositive in nature. Therefore, the Court **ORDERS** Petitioner to file a response to the supplemental motion to dismiss within fourteen days. Thereafter, the Court will rule on the motion, and if Petitioner still fails to respond, the Court will deem the motion unopposed. See Loc. R. 7.5. The Clerk is directed to notify the Court of the status of this case at the expiration of the fourteen days.

SO ORDERED this 23rd day of August, 2022, at Augusta, Georgia.



BRIAN K. EPPS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA